# SiC next step for maturity and massive diffusion Implant for Performances, Reliability and Costs







- Path for ultra-low resistivity by implant and laser annealing co-optimization
  - Enabling Device innovation with SJ Channeling implant

### Reliability - SiC Material modification

- Proton implant for mitigation of stacking fault expansion
- Amorphization implant for selective oxidation

### Costs

• Future implant for splitting





# Extend Doping capability - Ultra-low resistivity Junction manufacturing process limit



Extend Doping capability - Ultra-low resistivity Implant and laser annealing co-optimization





# Advance implantation engineering: Defect modulation

- Rising wafer temperature (~500°C) during implantation is successfully implemented for doses 1E15cm<sup>-2</sup> and below
- We explore doses >> 1E15cm<sup>-2</sup> with a rising wafer temperature to 800°C



preserve crystal quality at the same level of EPI

Signals normalized to  $E_{2}(TO)$  peak (776 cm<sup>-1</sup>),



### **Rising wafer temperature during implantation**

Increasing implantation temperature over 800°C drastically reduces defect level up to 50% (effect is enhanced for high doses)

Mazzamuto, Fulvio, et al. Solid State Phenomena 359 (2024): 21-28.





No visible dislocations nor polygon loops

axcelis

BPD and polygonal loops grow during

high thermal budget annealing

# Extend Doping Capability - Ultra-Low Resistivity Defect Evolution vs. Thermal Budget



[1] Y. Negoro et al. (2004).[5] S. G. Sundaresan et al. (2007).[6] R. Nipoti et al.(2018)

### High activation efficiency

Junction resistance is substantially improved for all the conditions (up to 6 times better with respect to literature)



# Extend Doping Capability - Ultra-Low Resistivity Implant Laser Annealing Key Requirement



Specific co-optimization of implant and anneal shows that process is effective even without a carbon cap layer.







- Path for ultra-low resistivity by implant and laser annealing co-optimization
- Device innovation with SJ with Channeling implant

### Reliability - SiC Material modification

- Proton implant for mitigation of stacking fault expansion
- Amorphization implant for selective oxidation

### Costs

• Future implant for splitting





# **Device Innovation** Super Junction with High Energy - Channeling Implant



Masuda, T., et al. IEDM IEEE, 2018.

10



Kobayashi, Y. et al. (2019).(ISPSD) (pp. 31-34). IEEE.



Ryoji Kosugi et al 2017 Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 56 04CR05

#### Multi-Step EPI/Mask/Implant

Trench filling by EPI

Higher process flexibility

Require complex EPI step.

#### Pro

- Achievable with current technologies Cons
- **High costs**
- Alignment and uniformity between layers

orientation...)

(doping variability, sensitive to

#### High Energy Channeling Implant

Super Junction MOSFET is the best-known path for extending SiC unipolar limit High energy Implant the promising solution



#### Pro

•

A more cost-effective approach

#### Cons

- Require industrial implanter capable of >5um projected ranges
- Masking capability with high stopping power



# Super Junction with High Energy Channeling Implant Projected Range – Current Capability



Projected range for conventional MC implanter limited to 1µm to 3µm with channeling High energy industrial implanter can reach 4µm to 7µm with channeling to dope the entire EPI-layer of a conventional class 1.2kV MOSFET

# Path for Extending Implant Depth High Energy Channeling Implant Key Requirements



• Up to 10MeV with channeling to reach 6-7um depth profiles identified as best trade off:

axce

- Most effective solution for majority of SiC devices class below 2kV
- Achievable process window (Critical angle > 0.1°)
- Achievable ion acceleration (for production purpose)





- Path for ultra-low resistivity by implant and laser annealing co-optimization
- Enabling Device innovation with SJ Channeling implant

Reliability - SiC Material modification

- Proton implant for mitigation of stacking fault expansion
  - Amorphization implant for selective oxidation

### Costs

• Future implant for splitting





### Material Modification - Proton Implant for SF Expansion Mitigation **Challenges and Opportunities** Path for improvement

Stacking fault formation energy	
55 mJ/m <sup>2</sup>	
60 mJ/m <sup>2</sup>	
4.7 mJ/m <sup>2</sup>	
<b>2.9 mJ/m<sup>2</sup></b>	





- Energy formation of crystallographic defects in 4H-SiC is more then 10x lower than Silicon.
- SiC industry has to learn how to improve device reliability mitigating but coexisting with SF defects.



H. Das et al. Defect and Diffusion 2023, Vol. 434, pp 51-59, N. A., (2012). JAP 120(11).

Mahadik, Nadeemullah A., et al. App. Phys. Lett. 100.4 (2012).



Minority carrier lifetime reduction mitigates SF expansion. Doping concentration and defect engineering are the best-known methods to control it

- SF expansion has been associated with minority carriers
- Reducing minority carrier lifetime is proven to be effective in prevent SF propagation in the drift layer
- Effective mitigation has been demonstrated by an EPI-buffer with high Nitrogen concentration Minority

0.3um thick

p<sup>+</sup> anode

10µm thick

n⁻ drift epi

Substrate

sample

No 3

No

No.2

– No.4

– No.5

No.6

Tawara et al. J. Appl. Phys. 120, 115101 (2016)

€ 100

5



### axce

# Material Modification- Proton Implant for SF Expansion Mitigation Mechanism and Implantation Process

- Implant offers an effective solution for carrier lifetime control via doping and/or defect engineering.
  Differently from EPI-buffer, lifetime control can be masked and modulate in depth by the implant projected range.
- Proton implant solution has been demonstrated repeatedly
  - Implant effective once located in the EPI-layer up to the EPI-bulk interface. Effect vanishes if in the bulk
  - Effect increases when increasing the proton dose and tends to saturate above 1E14cm<sup>-2</sup>



axcelis



Multiple demonstrations that proton-implanted layer at the Epi-Substrate interface shields the active device from bulk crystal defects expansion during operation

# Material Modification- Proton Implant for SF Expansion Mitigation Key Requirements

#### Implant energy range

Implant energy to be adjusted to locate the proton buffer layer at the EPI-bulk interface. Projected range to vary from 5um to 20um (for lower to high voltage class devices), corresponding to 600keV to 1.5MeV



#### Radiation

Requires radiation control.
 High energy light ions implanted into SiC generate radiation due to nuclear reactions with silicon and carbon atoms



#### Productivity



Requires relatively high dose (1E14 cm<sup>-2</sup>) for this energy range to maximize the effect. Needs higher beam currents to be compatible with industry target costs



- Path for ultra-low resistivity by implant and laser annealing co-optimization
- Enabling Device innovation with SJ Channeling implant

### Reliability - SiC Material modification

- Proton implant for mitigation of stacking fault expansion
- Amorphization implant for selective oxidation

### Costs

• Future implant for splitting





# Material Modification - Amorphization Implant for Selective Oxidation Challenges and Opportunities

Thermal oxidation remains the reference insulation process with a limiting trade off:

- High temperature (≥1300C) improves the oxide quality by decreasing SiCxOy and so Dit\*
- High temperature impacts SiC surface inducing a negative SiC lattice distortion\*\*.
- Process complexity increases when multiple SiC faces having different response are exposed



\*H. Kurimoto et al. / Applied Surface Science 253 (2006) 2416–2420 \*\*A. D. Hatmanto and K. Kita, Appl. Phys. Express 11, 011201 (2018).



A. Agarwal et al. (WiPDA 2018) (pp. 125-129)



http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/50748

Thermal SiO<sub>2</sub> in SiC never reached comparable maturity as in Si. The carbon atoms compromise the oxide quality and multiple SiC planes having different responses limit the control of the growth and increase the <u>variability. Modifying 4H-SiC prior to oxidation can be an option to solve these limitations</u>

# Material Modification - Amorphization Implant for Selective Oxidation **Mechanism and Implantation Process**

Ion implantation to solve the trade off:

- SiC amorphization implant to reduce oxidation temperature (to avoid SiC surface degradation)
- Enriching chemical concentration of Si and/or oxygen further accelerates the process minimizing carbon impact
- For controlling the 3D amorphization profile, a lower temperature oxidation process can be selective and insensitive to crystal orientation









Amorphization implant can induce a selectivity for thermal oxidation process reducing the dependence/variability from crystal orientation.

Increasing oxygen and/or silicon concentration can further enhance the method

#### Demonstration LOCOSiC



# Material Modification - Amorphization Implant for Selective Oxidation Key Implant Requirements

#### Advance Profile Engineering

Require the maximum capability to control 3D implanted profile and induced defects. This can be done by implanting the total dose with a sequence of subsequent implants where every step is optimized for Dose, Energy and Angle.



Example of profile engineering tuning dose, energy, angle per sub-recipe



#### Productivity

 Very high dose (1E16 cm<sup>-2</sup> and beyond) to guarantee the amorphization and chemically enrich the layer.
 Need high productivity to be compatible with industry target costs





- Path for ultra-low resistivity by implant and laser annealing co-optimization
- Enabling Device innovation with SJ Channeling implant

Reliability - SiC Material modification

- Proton implant for mitigation of stacking fault expansion
- Amorphization implant for selective oxidation

#### Costs

### Future implant for splitting





# Future Implant for Splitting Challenges and Opportunities



- >30% of the cost of a 1200V SiC MOSFET is the wafer cost
- Tremendous waste in wafering and device. Substrate has no interest in the device functionally. It is only the mechanical support (can be thinned down and/or replaced)
- Feasibility of splitting by implantation has been repeatedly demonstrated (e.g. SmartSiC). Big opportunities remain for yield/costs improvement and new integrations







axcelis

Points defects: I, V , I<sub>n</sub>, V<sub>n</sub>, VH<sub>n</sub>, V<sub>n</sub>H;
 H bubble
 Blister cavity
 a-SiC: amorphous layer



SiC substrate remains the main contribution to die cost 4H-SiC splitting by ion implantation can open multiple paths to reduce substrate contribution to die costs



Implant can play an important role to support continuous growth of SiC.
 Addressing key challenges in innovation, reliability and costs:

- Innovation extending current limitation in junction resistivity and depth for future super junction devices
- **Reliability** giving the ability to modulate SiC properties locally, to control minority carrier lifetime preventing SF expansion and to induce a selectivity in SiC to form high quality thermal oxide in complex pattern.
- Cost providing a path to reduce substrate cost, main contributor in limited SiC option.











Puriony

# axcelis

# Thank You for Your Attention!







# axcelis

# Ion implantation challenges and opportunities for next generation SiC devices

<u>F. Mazzamuto</u>, C. Lamontagne, L.Rubin, M. Ameen, A. Gupta, D. Roh, P. DeRosa Axcelis Technologies, Inc., Beverly, MA USA

Z. Chehadi, L. Thuries, Laser Systems & Solutions of Europe (LASSE), Gennevilliers, France

M. Opprecht, S.Kerdilés Université Grenoble Alpes, CEA LETI, Grenoble, France









